

Hyperbolicity of linear systems on abelian varieties

Federico Caucci

Sapienza University of Rome

BGS - 12/06/2026

Introduction

All varieties are defined over \mathbb{C} . Before focusing on abelian varieties, recall **two facts**:

We have effective results about the algebraic hyperbolicity of a very general hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n (Clemens, Ein, Voisin, ...)

Introduction

All varieties are defined over \mathbb{C} . Before focusing on abelian varieties, recall **two facts**:

We have effective results about the algebraic hyperbolicity of a very general hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n (Clemens, Ein, Voisin, ...)

Hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n : zero locus of a hom. polynomial of deg d ,
 $\in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)|$.

By “effective”, I mean an effective estimate on d such that the zero locus is algebraically hyperbolic.

Introduction

All varieties are defined over \mathbb{C} . Before focusing on abelian varieties, recall **two facts**:

We have effective results about the algebraic hyperbolicity of a very general hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n (Clemens, Ein, Voisin, ...)

It is often useful to consider adjoint bundles:

$$K_X + mL.$$

From these two facts:

Conjecture (Moraga-Yeong, '24)

Let L be an ample line bundle on a smooth projective variety X of dimension $n \geq 2$. If

$$m \geq 3n + 1,$$

then the adjoint linear system $|K_X + mL|$ is algebraically hyperbolic, i.e., a very general element in it is algebraically hyperbolic.

From these two facts:

Conjecture (Moraga-Yeong, '24)

Let L be an ample line bundle on a smooth projective variety X of dimension $n \geq 2$. If

$$m \geq 3n + 1,$$

then the adjoint linear system $|K_X + mL|$ is algebraically hyperbolic, i.e., a very general element in it is algebraically hyperbolic.

The bound $3n + 1$ is optimal: curves in \mathbb{P}^2 ($X = \mathbb{P}^2$, $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$).

They proved the conjecture when X is a toric variety.

(A, L) : polarized abelian variety; $Y \subseteq A$: closed subvariety

(A, L) : polarized abelian variety; $Y \subseteq A$: closed subvariety

Y is Kobayashi hyperbolic $\stackrel{\text{Brody}}{\iff}$ Y is Brody hyperbolic.

Y is Brody hyperbolic, if there exist no non-constant entire curves $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow Y$.

Hyperbolicity

(A, L) : polarized abelian variety; $Y \subseteq A$: closed subvariety

Y is Kobayashi hyperbolic $\stackrel{\text{Brody}}{\iff}$ Y is Brody hyperbolic.

Y is Brody hyperbolic, if there exist no non-constant entire curves $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow Y$. In particular, Y does not contain rational or elliptic curves.

Hyperbolicity

(A, L) : polarized abelian variety; $Y \subseteq A$: closed subvariety

Y is Kobayashi hyperbolic $\xRightarrow{\text{Demailly}}$ Y is algebraically hyperbolic.

Y is algebraically hyperbolic, if $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. \forall smooth projective curve C and \forall non-constant morphism

$$f: C \rightarrow Y$$

one has

$$2g(C) - 2 \geq \epsilon \cdot \deg_C(f^*L|_Y).$$

Hyperbolicity

(A, L) : polarized abelian variety; $Y \subseteq A$: closed subvariety

Y is Kobayashi hyperbolic $\xRightarrow{\text{Demailly}}$ Y is algebraically hyperbolic.

Demailly conjectured that the converse implication holds true in general. In our situation, this is true:

Y is alg. hyperbolic $\implies \text{Sp}(Y) = \emptyset \xRightarrow{\text{Bloch}}$ Y is Brody hyperbolic,

where $\text{Sp}(Y)$ is the union of translates of positive dimensional abelian subvarieties of A , which are contained in Y .

Conjecture (Moraga-Yeong, '24)

Let L be an ample line bundle on a smooth projective variety X of dimension $n \geq 2$. If

$$m \geq 3n + 1,$$

then the adjoint linear system $|K_X + mL|$ is algebraically hyperbolic, i.e., a very general element in it is algebraically hyperbolic.

Theorem (C., '25)

(A, L) : polarized abelian variety of dimension $g \geq 3$.

Then, $|mL|$ is hyperbolic if

$$m \geq g - 1,$$

unless

$$(A, L) \simeq (E, \Theta) \times (A', L')$$

where (E, Θ) is a principally polarized elliptic curve.

In this exceptional case, one needs $m \geq g$.

Theorem (C., '25)

(A, L) : polarized abelian variety of dimension $g \geq 3$.

Then, $|mL|$ is hyperbolic if $m \geq g - 1$, unless

$$(A, L) \simeq (E, \Theta) \times (A', L').$$

In this case, one needs $m \geq g$.

Ingredients of the proof:

- 1) A recent hyperbolicity criterion of Ito-Moraga-Raychaudhury-Yeong (IMRY);
- 2) Recent results about sheaves on abelian varieties due to Pareschi and Alvarado-Pareschi;
- 3) The *basepoint-freeness threshold* of (A, L) .

Theorem (C., '25)

(A, L) : polarized abelian variety of dimension $g \geq 3$.

Then, $|mL|$ is hyperbolic if $m \geq g - 1$, unless

$$(A, L) \simeq (E, \Theta) \times (A', L').$$

In this case, one needs $m \geq g$.

Ingredients of the proof:

- 1) A recent hyperbolicity criterion of Ito-Moraga-Raychaudhury-Yeong (IMRY);
- 2) Recent results about sheaves on abelian varieties due to Pareschi and Alvarado-Pareschi;
- 3) The *basepoint-freeness threshold* of (A, L) .

I will just explain the proof of a weaker result, which is already due to IMRY.

The bpf threshold of (A, L)

Notation: \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on A , $t \in \mathbb{Q}$.

The \mathbb{Q} -twisted sheaf $\mathcal{F}\langle tL \rangle$ is a formal symbol for the pair (\mathcal{F}, tL) .

Over A , it is possible to define the *cohomological ranks* of such objects (Jiang-Pareschi):

The bpf threshold of (A, L)

Notation: \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on A , $t \in \mathbb{Q}$.

The \mathbb{Q} -twisted sheaf $\mathcal{F} \langle tL \rangle$ is a formal symbol for the pair (\mathcal{F}, tL) .

Over A , it is possible to define the *cohomological ranks* of such objects (Jiang-Pareschi):

$$h^i(A, \mathcal{F} \langle tL \rangle) := \frac{1}{b^{2g}} \cdot h^i(A, \mu_b^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes L^{ab} \otimes \alpha),$$

where $t = \frac{a}{b}$, with $b > 0$, $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 A$ is general, and

$$\mu_b: A \rightarrow A, \quad p \mapsto bp.$$

The definition works as: $\mu_b^* L \sim_{\text{alg}} L^{b^2}$, and so $L^{ab} = \mu_b^*(\frac{a}{b}L)$, and $b^{2g} = \deg \mu_b$.

The bpf threshold of (A, L)

$$h^i(A, \mathcal{F} \langle tL \rangle) := \frac{1}{b^{2g}} \cdot h^i(A, \mu_b^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes L^{ab} \otimes \alpha)$$

gives us a **function**:

$$h_{\mathcal{F},L}^i: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, \quad t \mapsto h_{\mathcal{F},L}^i(t) = h^i(A, \mathcal{F} \langle tL \rangle)$$

Definition (bpf threshold)

Let $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A$ be the ideal sheaf of $0 \in A$, then

$$\beta(A, L) := \text{Inf} \left\{ t \in \mathbb{Q} \mid h_{\mathcal{I}_0, L}^1(t) = 0 \right\} .$$

The bpf threshold of (A, L)

$$h^i(A, \mathcal{F}\langle tL \rangle) := \frac{1}{b^{2g}} \cdot h^i(A, \mu_b^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes L^{ab} \otimes \alpha)$$

gives us a **function**:

$$h_{\mathcal{F},L}^i: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, \quad t \mapsto h_{\mathcal{F},L}^i(t) = h^i(A, \mathcal{F}\langle tL \rangle)$$

Definition (bpf threshold)

Let $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A$ be the ideal sheaf of $0 \in A$, then

$$\beta(A, L) := \text{Inf} \left\{ t \in \mathbb{Q} \mid h_{\mathcal{I}_0, L}^1(t) = 0 \right\}.$$

- $\beta(A, L) \in (0, 1]$, and it is < 1 iff L is basepoint-free;
- $\beta(A, mL) = \frac{\beta(A, L)}{m}$.
- E.g., $\beta(A, m\Theta) = \frac{1}{m}$.

What is the relation with hyperbolicity of $|L|$?

Theorem (IMRY)

If $\beta(A, L) < \frac{1}{g-1}$, then $|L|$ is hyperbolic.

As a corollary, we get that $|mL|$ is hyperbolic if $m \geq g$.

What is the relation with hyperbolicity of $|L|$?

Theorem (IMRY)

If $\beta(A, L) < \frac{1}{g-1}$, then $|L|$ is hyperbolic.

As a corollary, we get that $|mL|$ is hyperbolic if $m \geq g$.

Indeed:

$$\beta(A, mL) = \frac{\beta(A, L)}{m} \leq \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{g-1}.$$

In order to prove the Theorem, one applies a more general hyperbolicity criterion of IMRY.

$\beta(A, L) < \frac{1}{g-1} \Rightarrow |L|$ is hyperbolic: Proof

Criterion (IMRY)

Let X be a smooth proj. variety of dim. $n \geq 3$, with T_X nef.

Let N (resp. L) be a globally generated (resp. ample) line bundle on X . Assume $\exists \delta$ a positive rational number s.t.:

i) $M_N \langle \delta L \rangle$ is a nef \mathbb{Q} -twisted bundle, where

$$0 \rightarrow M_N \rightarrow H^0(X, N) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} N \rightarrow 0$$

ii) $K_X + N - \delta(n-2)L$ is ample.

Then, $|N|$ is algebraically hyperbolic.

Here, “nef \mathbb{Q} -twisted bundle” means that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(M_N)}(1) + \delta\pi^*L$ is a nef \mathbb{Q} -divisor, where $\pi: \mathbb{P}(M_N) \rightarrow X$.

$\beta(A, L) < \frac{1}{g-1} \Rightarrow |L|$ is hyperbolic: Proof

Criterion (IMRY)

Let X be a smooth proj. variety of dim. $n \geq 3$, with T_X nef.

Let N (resp. L) be a globally generated (resp. ample) line bundle on X . Assume $\exists \delta$ a positive rational number s.t.:

i) $M_N \langle \delta L \rangle$ is a nef \mathbb{Q} -twisted bundle, where

$$0 \rightarrow M_N \rightarrow H^0(X, N) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} N \rightarrow 0$$

ii) $K_X + N - \delta(n-2)L$ is ample.

Then, $|N|$ is algebraically hyperbolic.

Here, “nef \mathbb{Q} -twisted bundle” means that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(M_N)}(1) + \delta\pi^*L$ is a nef \mathbb{Q} -divisor, where $\pi: \mathbb{P}(M_N) \rightarrow X$.

Let us apply it when $X = A$ and $N = L$.

$\beta(A, L) < \frac{1}{g-1} \Rightarrow |L|$ is hyperbolic: Proof

Going back to (A, L) :

If $\beta(A, L) < r_0 < 1$, then L is globally generated and

$$M_L \left\langle \frac{r_0}{r_0 - 1} L \right\rangle$$

is ample.

This is a computation, using the Fourier-Mukai-Poncaré transform, due to Jiang-Pareschi + a result of Debarre.

$\beta(A, L) < \frac{1}{g-1} \Rightarrow |L|$ is hyperbolic: Proof

Going back to (A, L) :

If $\beta(A, L) < r_0 < 1$, then L is globally generated and

$$M_L \left\langle \frac{r_0}{r_0 - 1} L \right\rangle$$

is ample.

This is a computation, using the Fourier-Mukai-Poncaré transform, due to Jiang-Pareschi + a result of Debarre.

If $r_0 = \frac{1}{g-1}$, then $M_L \left\langle \frac{1}{g-2} L \right\rangle$ is ample. Take $\delta < \frac{1}{g-2}$ s.t. $M_L \langle \delta L \rangle$ is still ample (hence nef). Since

$$L - \delta(g-2)L = \underbrace{(1 - \delta(g-2))}_{>0} L$$

is ample, the Criterion applies to $|L|$.

More about $\beta(A, L)$

$\beta(A, L)$ is also related to other positivity properties of (A, L) :

- syzygies;
- higher order embeddings;
- ...

This suggested a *Fujita-type* upper bound for the bpf threshold:

Conjecture 1 (C.)

$$\beta(A, L) \leq \text{Max}_B \left\{ \frac{\dim B}{\dim \sqrt[\dim B]{(L^{\dim B} \cdot B)}} \right\},$$

where $B \subseteq A$ varies among all non-zero abelian subvarieties of A .

Conjecture 1 (C.)

$$\beta(A, L) \leq \text{Max}_{\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A} \left\{ \frac{\dim B}{\dim B \sqrt{L^{\dim B} \cdot B}} \right\}$$

Why of “Fujita-type”?

Conjecture 1 (C.)

$$\beta(A, L) \leq \text{Max}_{\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A} \left\{ \frac{\dim B}{\sqrt[\dim B]{(L^{\dim B} \cdot B)}} \right\}$$

Why of “Fujita-type”? If we impose that the RHS is < 1 , we get:

Corollary (assuming Conjecture 1)

If $(L^{\dim B} \cdot B) > (\dim B)^{\dim B}$ for all $\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A$, then L is basepoint-free.

We have similar implications for the very ampleness of L , and even for the higher syzygies of L .

Conjecture 1 (C.)

$$\beta(A, L) \leq \text{Max}_{\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A} \left\{ \frac{\dim B}{\dim B \sqrt{(L^{\dim B} \cdot B)}} \right\}$$

Similarly, if we impose that the RHS is $< \frac{1}{g-1}$, we get:

Conjecture 2 (C.)

If $(L^{\dim B} \cdot B) > ((g-1) \dim B)^{\dim B}$ for all $\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A$, then the linear system $|L|$ is hyperbolic.

Conjecture 1 (C.)

$$\beta(A, L) \leq \max_{\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A} \left\{ \frac{\dim B}{\dim B \sqrt{L^{\dim B} \cdot B}} \right\}$$

Similarly, if we impose that the RHS is $< \frac{1}{g-1}$, we get:

Conjecture 2 (C.)

If $(L^{\dim B} \cdot B) > ((g-1) \dim B)^{\dim B}$ for all $\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A$, then the linear system $|L|$ is hyperbolic.

What is known: Conj. 1 (and hence Conj. 2) is true if $g = 2$ (C.), if $g = 3$ (Ito), “essentially true” when $g = 4$ (Jiang). In arbitrary dimension, it is known “up to a factor 2” (Jiang).

Theorem (Jiang)

$$\beta(A, L) \leq \text{Max}_{\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A} \left\{ \frac{2 \cdot \dim B}{\dim B \sqrt{(L^{\dim B} \cdot B)}} \right\}$$

Corollary

If $(L^{\dim B} \cdot B) > (2 \cdot (g - 1) \dim B)^{\dim B}$ for all $\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A$, then $|L|$ is hyperbolic.

Birational geometry bounds $\beta(A, L)$

Take a rational number $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

$$\text{Max}_{\{0\} \neq B \subseteq A} \left\{ \frac{\dim B}{\dim \sqrt{\dim B} (L^{\dim B} \cdot B)} \right\} < t \stackrel{??}{\implies} \beta(A, L) < t.$$

In particular,

$$((tL)^g) > g^g$$

$\rightsquigarrow \exists$ an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} tL$ such that $0 \in \text{Nklt}(A, D)$.

Proposition (Lozovanu, Ito)

If $\text{Nklt}(A, D)$ contains an abelian subvariety of A (possibly $\{0\}$) as an irreducible component, then $\beta(A, L) < t$.

Birational geometry bounds $\beta(A, L)$

If $\text{Nklt}(A, D)$ contains an abelian subvariety of A as an irreducible component, then $\beta(A, L) < t$.

Ito and Jiang applied the Angehrn-Siu-Helmke's method to abelian varieties: to cut the non-klt locus.

$g = 3$. Take the minimal lc center Z of (A, D) at 0. Up to tie-breaking, we may assume that Z is the only irreducible component of $\text{Nklt}(A, D)$ passing through 0. Either Z is an abelian subvariety of A , or it is possible to cut it, that is we may slightly perturb $D \rightsquigarrow D'$ s.t. $D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} t'L$, with $0 < t - t' \ll 1$, and the minimal lc center Z' of (A, D') at 0 is *strictly* contained in Z .

Remark (C.)

If $g = 2$ and (A, L) is a polarized abelian surface, then $|L|$ is hyperbolic as soon as L is basepoint-free.

In particular, $|mL|$ is hyperbolic if $m \geq 2$ and L is just ample.

Further remarks

Remark (C.)

If $g = 2$ and (A, L) is a polarized abelian surface, then $|L|$ is hyperbolic as soon as L is basepoint-free.

In particular, $|mL|$ is hyperbolic if $m \geq 2$ and L is just ample.

Proof: Take $D \in |L|$ general. Then, D is a smooth proj. curve $\subseteq A$.
Take

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0$$

and apply the holom. Euler characteristic:

$$0 = \chi(\mathcal{O}_A) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_A(-D)) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_D) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_A(-D)) + (1 - g(D)).$$

Therefore,

$$g(D) = 1 + h^0(\mathcal{O}_A(D)) > 1.$$

Further remarks

Remark (C.)

If $g = 2$ and (A, L) is a polarized abelian surface, then $|L|$ is hyperbolic as soon as L is basepoint-free.

Theorem (IMRY, 2nd ArXiv version)

If $g \geq 3$ and L separates $(g - 2)$ -jets, then $|L|$ is hyperbolic.

Here, “ L separates $(g - 2)$ -jets” means

$$H^0(A, L) \rightarrow H^0(A, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_A/\mathcal{I}_p^{g-1}) \quad \forall p \in A.$$

- 0-jet separation \Leftrightarrow basepoint-freeness.
- They also gave an alternative proof of our main theorem along these lines.

Further remarks

If A is a *simple* abelian variety, Conj. 1 reduces to ask

$$\beta(A, L) \leq \frac{g}{\sqrt[g]{(L^g)}}.$$

Jiang proved this inequality for a *very general* abelian variety of $\dim. g \leq 6$, but for $g \geq 7$ it is still open!

Further remarks

If A is a *simple* abelian variety, Conj. 1 reduces to ask

$$\beta(A, L) \leq \frac{g}{\sqrt[g]{(L^g)}}.$$

Jiang proved this inequality for a *very general* abelian variety of $\dim. g \leq 6$, but for $g \geq 7$ it is still open!

If A is simple, then any $|L|$ is hyperbolic, simply because the special locus of any closed subvariety $\subsetneq A$ is empty.

Anyway, Conj. 1 for simple abelian varieties would lead to interesting consequences regarding hyperbolicity!

Further remarks

It suggests the weaker

Conjecture 2'

Let (A, L) be a general polarized abelian variety of dim. g and type (d_1, \dots, d_g) . If

$$h^0(A, L) > \frac{(g(g-1))^g}{g!},$$

then $|L|$ is hyperbolic.

Proof (assuming Conj. 1 for simple / very general abelian varieties):
Take (A_0, L_0) a simple ab. variety in the same moduli space. Then,

$$\beta(A_0, L_0) \leq \frac{g}{\sqrt[g]{(L_0^g)}} = \frac{g}{\sqrt[g]{g! \cdot h^0(A, L)}} < \frac{1}{g-1}.$$

Since the bpf threshold is upper-semicontinuous, we get
 $\beta(A, L) < \frac{1}{g-1}$ for a general (A, L) .

Further remarks

One has

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[g]{h^0(A, L)}} \leq \beta(A, L) \stackrel{?}{\leq} \frac{g}{\sqrt[g]{(L^g)}} = \frac{g}{\sqrt[g]{g!}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt[g]{h^0(A, L)}}$$

for a very general (A, L) (the first inequality indeed holds true for any (A, L) by Ito).

It is expected that $\beta(A, L) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt[g]{h^0(A, L)}}$ when (A, L) is general, but there isn't a precise conjecture: even better estimates than the one in Conj. 2' may be possible in some cases.

THANK YOU!

GRAZIE!